

The 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on

COMPUTATIONAL MECHANICS AND VIRTUAL ENGINEERING



Transilvania University of Brasov FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

25-27 October 2023

# Three points frictionless simultaneous collision of rigid solid

# DRAGNA I.B.<sup>1</sup>, PANDREA N.<sup>1</sup>, STANESCU N.D.

1. National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest, Pitești University Center, 1, Tărgul din Vale, 110040, <u>bobydragna@yahoo.com</u>, <u>nicolae pandrea37@yahoo.com</u>, <u>doru.stanescu@upit.ro</u>

**Abstract:** - This paper deals with the simultaneous frictionless three-point collision of a rigid solid. The working hypotheses and the conditions under which the problem can be solved are presented. The impulses at the contact points, the velocity of the rigid body after the collision and its energy variation are determined. Some special cases are also discussed. The theory is illustrated based on a fully solved example. The paper concludes with conclusions and future directions for study.

Keywords: Collision, simultaneous, multi-point, coefficient of restitution

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The actual study of the problem is presented in our previous paper [26], based on the references [1 - 25]. Some aspects must be remembered here [26]:

- simultaneous vanishing of the normal velocities in the contact points;
- there is no jamb phenomenon.

In the previous paper we have discussed some aspects concerning the simultaneous collisions. In this paper we consider two rigid bodies with constraints which simultaneously collide at three points.

## **2. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS**

We will use the same notations as in [26].

In a similar way to the simultaneous collisions at two points of a rigid solid with bilateral contraints, one may write

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{(1)} \} - \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(1)} \}$$
  
=  $[\mathbf{Q}_1] [\mathbf{M}_{1red}]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_1]^T [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{U}_1] \{ \mathbf{P} \},$  (1)

for the first rigid solid, and

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{(2)} \} - \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(2)} \}$$
  
=  $[\mathbf{Q}_2] [\mathbf{M}_{2red}]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_2]^T [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{U}_2] \{ \mathbf{P} \}, '$  (2)

for the second one.

The previous expressions are multiplied at the left side by  $[U_1]^{\rm T}[\eta]$  and  $[U_2]^{\rm T}[\eta]$  obtaining

$$\{ \mathbf{v}_n^{(1)} \} - \{ \mathbf{v}_n^{0(1)} \}$$
  
=  $[\mathbf{U}_1]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta} ] [\mathbf{Q}_1] [\mathbf{M}_{1red}]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_1]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta} ] [\mathbf{U}_1] \{ \mathbf{P} \}, '$  (3)

$$\{ \mathbf{v}_n^{(2)} \} - \{ \mathbf{v}_n^{0(2)} \}$$
  
=  $[\mathbf{U}_2]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{Q}_2] [\mathbf{M}_{2red}]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_2]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{U}_2] \{ \mathbf{P} \}.$  (4)

It results

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_i \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta} \llbracket \mathbf{Q}_i \rrbracket \mathbf{M}_{ired} ]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_i ]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta} \llbracket \mathbf{U}_i ] = [\mathbf{G}_i ], \qquad (5)$$
$$i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$\{\mathbf{v}_{12n}\} - \{\mathbf{v}_{12n}^{0}\} = -[[\mathbf{G}_{1}] + [\mathbf{G}_{2}]]\{\mathbf{P}\}.$$
 (6)

In addition, one has

$$\{\mathbf{v}_{12n}\} - \{\mathbf{v}_{12n}^{0}\} = -[[\mathbf{I}] + [\mathbf{K}]]\{\mathbf{v}_{12n}^{0}\},$$
(7)

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (8)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (9)

We also get

$$\{\mathbf{P}\} = [[\mathbf{G}_1] + [\mathbf{G}_2]]^{-1} [[\mathbf{I}] + [\mathbf{K}]] \langle \mathbf{v}_{12n}^0 \rangle.$$
(10)

where

$$[\mathbf{M}_{ired}] = [\mathbf{Q}_i]^{\mathrm{T}} [\boldsymbol{\eta}] [\mathbf{M}_i] [\mathbf{Q}_i], \ i = 1, 2, \qquad (11)$$

$$\left\{ \mathbf{v}_{n}^{0(i)} \right\} = \left[ \mathbf{U}_{i} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} \left[ \mathbf{\eta} \right] \left\{ \mathbf{v}^{0(i)} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \qquad (12)$$

$$\{\mathbf{v}_{12n}^{0}\} = \{\mathbf{v}_{n}^{0(1)}\} - \{\mathbf{v}_{n}^{0(2)}\}.$$
 (13)

The velocities after the collision are

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{(1)} \} = \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(1)} \}$$
  
-  $[\mathbf{Q}_1] [\mathbf{M}_{1red}]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_1]^T [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{U}_1] \{ \mathbf{P} \}$  (14)

and

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{(2)} \} = \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(2)} \}$$
  
+ 
$$[\mathbf{Q}_2] [\mathbf{M}_{2red}]^{-1} [\mathbf{Q}_2]^T [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{U}_2] \{ \mathbf{P} \}.$$
 (15)

The impulses of constraints are

$$\{ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \} = \left[ [\boldsymbol{S}_{1}]^{\mathrm{T}} [\boldsymbol{\eta}] [\boldsymbol{M}_{1}]^{-1} [\boldsymbol{S}_{1}] \right]^{-1} \\ [\boldsymbol{S}_{1}]^{\mathrm{T}} [\boldsymbol{\eta}] [\boldsymbol{M}_{1}]^{-1} [\boldsymbol{U}_{1}] \{ \boldsymbol{P} \}$$
 (16)

and

$$\{ \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \} = - \left[ [\mathbf{S}_2]^{\mathrm{T}} [\boldsymbol{\eta}] [\mathbf{M}_2]^{-1} [\mathbf{S}_2] \right]^{-1} .$$

$$[\mathbf{S}_2]^{\mathrm{T}} [\boldsymbol{\eta}] [\mathbf{M}_2]^{-1} [\mathbf{U}_2] \{ \mathbf{P} \}.$$

$$(17)$$

The matrices of the simple impulses are  $[\mathbf{S}_i]$ , with i = 1, 2.

Example 1. For the frames in Fig. 1, jointed at the points  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ , have the centers of weight in  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  and collide at the points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ , one knows the dimension a, the masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , the inertial moments  $J_{x_1}$ ,  $J_{y_1}$ ,  $J_{z_1}$ , and  $J_{x_2}$ ,  $J_{y_2}$ ,  $J_{z_2}$ , respectively, relative to each frame with respect to the central inertial systems, the coefficients of restitution  $k_1$  at the point  $A_1$ .  $k_2$  at the point  $A_2$ , and  $k_3$  at the point  $A_3$ , and the initial distributions of velocities (the magnitudes of the angular veocities  $\omega_{10}$  and  $\omega_{20}$ ).



Figure 1. Example 1

One considers that the frames are identical:  $m_1 = m_2$ ,  $J_{x_1} = J_{x_2} = J_x$ ,  $J_{y_1} = J_{y_2} = J_y$ ,  $J_{z_1} = J_{z_2} = J_z$ ,  $\omega_{10} = \omega_{20} = \omega_0$ ,

One asks for the impulses at the collision points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ , the constraint impulses at the points  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ , and the distributions of velocities after the collision.

We get:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}} \times \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{I}} = a\mathbf{k} , \ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}} \times \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{0} , \ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}} \times \mathbf{k} = -a\mathbf{i} ,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} , \ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \\ \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} ,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \\ \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} , \ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \\ \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{I}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} ,$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{2} \times \mathbf{i}_{2} = -a\mathbf{k}, \ \mathbf{C}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{2} \times \mathbf{j}_{2} = \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{C}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{2} \times \mathbf{k}_{2} = a\mathbf{i},$$

$$[\mathbf{S}_{2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{Q}_{2}] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\mathbf{S}_{2}]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{Q}_{2}] = [0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0]^{\mathrm{T}}, \ [\mathbf{Q}_{2}]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{S}_{2}] = [0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0]^{\mathrm{T}},$$

$$[\mathbf{S}_{2}]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{Q}_{2}] = [0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0]^{\mathrm{T}}, \ [\mathbf{Q}_{2}]^{\mathrm{T}} [\mathbf{\eta}] [\mathbf{S}_{2}] = [0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0]^{\mathrm{T}},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{1} \times \mathbf{i}_{1} = a\mathbf{j}, \ \mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{2} \times \mathbf{i}_{1} = a\mathbf{j} - 2a\mathbf{k}, \ \mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{3} \times \mathbf{i}_{1} = -a\mathbf{j},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{1} \times \mathbf{i}_{2} = a\mathbf{j} + 2a\mathbf{k}, \ \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{2} \times \mathbf{i}_{2} = -a\mathbf{j}, \ \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{3} \times \mathbf{i}_{2} = -a\mathbf{j} + 2a\mathbf{k},$$

$$73$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & a & -a \\ 0 & -2a & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & -a & -a \\ 2a & 0 & 2a \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{1red} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{1} \end{bmatrix} = m_{1}a^{2} + J_{z_{1}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{2red} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = m_{2}a^{2} + J_{z_{2}},$$

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{0(1)} \} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\omega_{10} & a\omega_{10} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(2)} \} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\omega_{20} & -a\omega_{20} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}},$$

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{0}_{1n} \} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(1)} \} = \begin{bmatrix} a\omega_{10} \\ 3a\omega_{10} \\ a\omega_{10} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \{ \mathbf{v}^{0}_{2n} \} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \{ \mathbf{v}^{0(2)} \} = \begin{bmatrix} -3a\omega_{20} \\ -a\omega_{20} \\ -3a\omega_{20} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{0}_{1n} \} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{0(2)} \\ -3a\omega_{20} \\ -3a\omega_{20} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\{ \mathbf{v}^{0}_{1n} \} = \{ \mathbf{v}^{0}_{1n} \} - \{ \mathbf{v}^{0}_{2n} \} = \begin{bmatrix} a\omega_{10} + 3a\omega_{20} \\ 3a\omega_{10} + 3a\omega_{20} \\ a\omega_{10} + 3a\omega_{20} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\mathbf{G}_{1}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{1red} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{m_{1}a^{2} + J_{z_{1}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 9 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\mathbf{G}_{2}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{2red} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{a^{2}}{m_{2}a^{2} + J_{z_{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & 9 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 9 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

It results

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{a^{2}}{m_{1}a^{2} + J_{z_{1}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 9 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{a^{2}}{m_{2}a^{2} + J_{z_{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & 9 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 9 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$det([G_1]) = 0$$
,  $det([G_2]) = 0$ ,  $det([G_1] + [G_2]) = 0$ ,

that is, the matrix  $[G_1]+[G_2]$  is not an invertible one and, consequently, the rest of the parameters can not be determined. In fact, the problem can not be solved if the three impulses are coplanar, conqurent or paralel (our case)

The problem can be solved if we change the direction of impulse  $P_3$  as we present in Fig. 3.2.



Figure 2. Example 2

### **3. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we discuss the simultaneous multi-points collision of two rigid bodies with constraints. The collision takes place at three different points. The conditions for the solving of problem are also described and they took into account the positions of the three impulses. Based on it, the first example given in the paper can not be solved because it leads to a non-invertible matrix. The second example which avoids this inconvenient can be completely solved.

The method can be easily generalize to a simultaneous collision of two constrained rigid bodies at an arbitrary number of points.

#### BIBLIOGRAFIE

- [1] Batlle J. A., Termination condition for three-dimensional inelastic collisions in multibody systems, *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, Vol. 25, No. 7, 2001, pp. 615-629,.
- [2] Batlle J. A., Cardona S., The Jamb (Self-Locking) Process in Three-Dimensional Collisions, Journal of Applied Mechanics, Vol. 65, 1988, pp. 417-423.
- [3] Brogliato B., Kinetic quasi-velocities in unilaterally constrained Lagrangian mechanics with impacts and friction, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 32, 2014, pp. 175-216.
- [4] Brogliato B., Nonsmooth Mechanics, 3rd edn. Springer, Berlin, 2016.
- [5] Chatterjee A., Rodriguez A., Bowling A., Analytic solution for the planar indeterminate impact problems using an energy constraint, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 42, 2018, pp. 347-379.
- [6] Djerassi S., Collision with friction; Part A: Newton's hypothesis, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 21, 2009, pp. 37-54.
- [7] Djerassi S., Collision with friction; Part B: Poisson's and Stronge's hypotheses, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 21, 2009, pp. 55-70.
- [8] Dejerassi S., Stronge's hypothesis-based solution to the planar collision-with-friction problem, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 24, 2010, pp. 493-515.
- [9] Elkaranshawy H. A., Rough collision in three-dimensional rigid multi-body systems, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics, Vol. 221, No. 4, 2007, pp. 541-550.
- [10] Flores P., Ambrósio J., Claro J. C. P., Lankarani H. M., Influence of the contact-impact force model on the dynamic response of multi-body systems, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, Vol, 220, No. 1, 2006, pp. 21-34.
- [11] Glocker C., Energetic consistency conditions for standard impacts. Part I: Newton-type inequality impact laws, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 29, 2013, pp. 77-117.

- [12] Glocker C., Energetic consistency conditions for standard impacts. Part II: Poisson-type inequality impact laws, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 32, 2014, pp. 445-509.
- [13] Lankarani H. M., Pereira M. F. O. S., Treatment of impact with friction in planar multibody mechanical systems, *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2001, pp. 203-227.
- [14] Pandrea N., *Elements of the mechanics of solid rigid in plückerian coordinates*, The Publishing House of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, 2000.
- [15] Pandrea N., About collisions of two solids with constraints, *Revue Romaine des Sciences Techniques, série de Méchanique Appliquée*, Vol. 49, No. 1, 2004, pp. 1-6.
- [16] Pandrea N., Stănescu N.-D., A New Approach in the Study of Frictionless Collisions Using Inertances, Proceedings of the International Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, Vol. 229, No. 12, 2015, pp. 2144-2157.
- [17] Pandrea N., Stănescu N.-D., A new approach in the study of the collisions with friction using inertances, *Proceedings of the International Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, Vol. 233, No. 3, 2019, pp. 817-834.
- [18] Pennestri E., Valentini P. P., Vita L., Dynamic Analysis of Intermittent-Motion Mechanisms Through the Combined Use of Gauss Principle and Logical Functions, In: Eberhard, Peter (ed.) *IUTAM Symposium on Multiscale Problems in Multibody System Contacts*, Stuttgart, February 2006, IUITAM Book series, Springer-Verlag, 2006, pp. 195-204.
- [19] Pfeiffer F., On impact with friction, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 217, No. 3, 2010, pp. 1184-1192.
- [20] Stănescu N.-D., Munteanu L., Chiroiu V., Pandrea N., *Dynamical systems. Theory and applications*, The Publishing House of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, 2007.
- [21] Stronge J. W., Smooth dynamics of oblique impact with friction, *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, Vol, 51, 2013, pp. 36-49.
- [22] Stronge J. W., Impact Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [23] Tavakoli A., Gharib M., Hurmuzlu Y., Collision of two mass baton with massive external surfaces, *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 79, No. 5, 2012, pp. 051019 1-8.
- [24] Yao W. L., Bin C., Liu C. S., Energetic coefficient of restitution for planar impact in multi-rigid-body systems with friction, *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 2005, pp. 255-265.
- [25] Yu H. N., Zhao J. S., Chu F. L., An enhanced multi-point dynamics methodology for collision and contact problems, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, Vol. 227, No. 6, 2013, pp. 1203-1223.
- [26] Pandrea N., Dragna I.-B., Stănescu N.-D., On the simultaneous multi-point collision of the rigid solid, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A, Volume 22, Number 1/2021, pp. 53-61.